



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

LOS ANGELES DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 532711
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90053-2325

7 July 2006

Programs and Project
Management Division

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Mr. Omo Patrick, Project Manager
Department of Toxic Substances Control
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, California 90630

See Distribution

SUBJECT:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Programmatic Draft Final Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan for the Borrego Maneuver Area – Los Angeles District Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) J09CA020900

1. Enclosed for your review is the *Draft Final Former Borrego Maneuver Area Remedial Investigation Work Plan*. The Work Plan includes: the strategy developed during the Technical Project Planning Process and the detailed execution plans and represents a significant step for these FUDS. The Work Plan provides a detailed project execution plan to estimate location, concentration, and nature of munitions and explosives of concern (MEC) at the eight project sites being investigated in this effort. In addition, soil sampling activities will be completed to assess a possible release to the environment associated with munitions constituents (MC). The MC Sampling and Analysis Plan is currently pending submission while USACE and our contractor optimize the final approach. Once it is available for submittal, it will be forwarded to you and those on the distribution list for this letter. At or before the time of the final Work Plan it will be incorporated into the Work Plan itself.
2. The USACE is providing a 45-60 day review period on the Work Plan; please submit your comments directly to me by close of business Monday, September 5, 2006. We plan on taking time at our next Technical Project Planning meeting scheduled for August 8, 2006, to go over your comments in person. Furthermore, as a best management practice, we encourage you and any reviewer to contact me 'real time' with any questions or comments. This provides an efficient opportunity to work to our common goal of field implementation of the remedial investigation.

3. The USACE looks forward to finalizing the Programmatic RI/FS Work Plan and initiating the field work at the site in order to develop long-term solutions for MEC at the eight project sites. Again, please contact me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,



Larry A. Sievers
FUDS Program Manager

My mailing address is:

USACE Los Angeles District, CESPL-PM-M
Attn: Larry A Sievers
915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 15011
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3401

My e-mail address is: larry.a.sievers@usace.army.mil

My telephone number is: (213) 452-3990

Enclosure: Draft RI/FS Work Plan, Borrego Maneuver Area

Distribution List:

Mr. B.J. Allen, USACE, 16885 W. Bernardo Dr. #300-B, San Diego CA 92127
(1-Hard Copy, 1-CD)

Mr. Vince Del Greco, USACE, 333 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
(1-CD)

Mr. Ed Ketchum , USACE, 1325 J. Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-2922, (1-CD)

Mr. A.R.Smith, USACE, 1325 J. Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-2922, (1-CD)


Ms. Debra Edwards, USACE, 4820 University Square, Huntsville, AL 35816
(1-CD)

US Army Engineering and Support Center, CEHNC-OE-CX,
P.O. BOX 1600, Huntsville, Alabama 35807-4301, (1-CD)


Alice Gimeno, DTSC, 5796 Corporate Avenue, Cypress, California 90630-4732
(1-Hard Copy, 1-CD)

City of Borrego Springs, 652 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs, CA 92004
(1-Hard Copy, 1-CD)

Jennifer Campos, San Diego County Dept. of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B / Mail Station 0650, San Diego, CA 92123-1666
(1-CD)



Los Angeles District



**DRAFT FINAL Work Plan
Borrego Maneuver Area
San Diego, Imperial, and Riverside Counties, California**



Contract No. W912PL-05-0007

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Los Angeles District
915 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90017

DRAFT FINAL

Prepared by:

MARRS Services, Inc.
13360 Firestone Boulevard, Suite 2A
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670



July 7, 2006

“The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in the report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentations”.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.....	1
1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
1.3 WORK PLAN ORGANIZATION	1
1.4 PROJECT LOCATION	2
1.5 SITE DESCRIPTION.....	4
1.5.1 Physiography and Topography	4
1.5.2 Climate	4
1.5.3 Soils	4
1.5.4 Geology	5
1.5.5 Prehistoric and Historic Cultural Resource.....	7
1.6 SITE HISTORY.....	7
1.7 HISTORY OF POTENTIAL ORDNANCE SITES.....	8
1.7.1 Targets/Ranges Used by the Army and Navy.....	8
1.8 CURRENT AND PROJECTED LAND USE.....	12
1.9 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION OF THE SITE	12
1.10 INITIAL SUMMARY OF MEC RISK.....	13
2.0 TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	15
2.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES.....	15
2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION.....	15
2.2.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District.....	15
2.2.2 MARRS Services, Inc.....	15
2.2.3 Earth Tech.....	16
2.3 PROJECT PERSONNEL	16
2.3.1 Project Manager.....	16
2.3.2 Program Manager	20
2.3.3 Contracts/Procurement Manager	20
2.3.4 Quality Control Manager.....	20
2.3.5 Health and Safety Professional	20
2.3.6 Site Manager.....	20

2.3.7 Senior UXO Supervisor (SUXOS)	21
2.3.8 UXO Site Safety Officer (UXOSO)	21
2.3.9 UXO Quality Control Specialist (UXOQC).....	22
2.4 PROJECT COMMUNICATION AND REPORTING	23
2.5 PROJECT DELIVERABLES	24
2.6 PROJECT SCHEDULE	24
2.7 PERIODIC REPORTING	24
2.8 COSTING AND BILLING	27
2.8.1 Scope	27
2.8.2 Responsibilities	27
2.8.3 Field Cost Tracking.....	27
2.9 PROJECT PUBLIC RELATIONS SUPPORT	27
2.10 SUBCONTRACTOR MANAGEMENT	28
2.11 MANAGEMENT OF FIELD OPERATIONS	29
3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION PLAN	31
3.1 OVERALL APPROACH TO THE RI/FS	31
3.1.1 RI/FS Goals	31
3.1.2 Data Quality Objectives	33
3.2 DATA INCORPORATION INTO THE RI/FS REPORT	53
3.2.1 Alternatives Identification and Analysis	53
3.2.2 RI/FS Report	60
3.2.3 Use of Time-Critical Removal Actions during the RI/FS Process.....	61
3.2.4 Follow-on Activities	62
3.3 IDENTIFICATION OF AREAS OF CONCERN.....	62
3.3.1 MRA and MRS Investigation Units	62
3.4 FIELD OPERATIONS.....	62
3.5 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION PLAN (DID MR-005-05).....	63
3.5.1 Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Safety	63
3.5.2 Personnel Qualifications	63
3.5.3 Site Description.....	64
3.5.4 GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION.....	72
3.5.5 Instrument Standardization.....	76
3.5.6 DATA PROCESSING	77

3.5.7 Dig Sheet Development.....	79
3.5.8 Anomaly Reacquisition	79
3.5.9 Quality Control	80
3.5.10 Corrective Measures.....	82
3.5.11 Records Management	82
3.5.12 Interim Reporting	82
3.5.13 Map Format.....	83
3.5.14 Geophysical Investigation Performance Goals	83
3.5.15 Geophysical Mapping Data	84
3.5.16 Geophysical Data Analysis, Field Reacquisition and Reporting.....	85
3.5.17 Anomaly Excavation Reporting	85
3.5.18 Complete Geophysical Deliverables	85
3.5.19 Field Activities Deliverables	86
3.6 LOCATION SURVEYS AND MAPPING PLAN (DID MR-005-07)	86
3.6.1 General	86
3.6.2 Digital Data	87
3.6.3 Digital Format for Survey/Mapping Data	88
3.6.4 Items and Data.....	88
3.7 GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS PLAN (DID MR-005-07)	89
3.7.1 General	89
3.7.2 Geographic Information System.....	90
3.7.3 Database and Information Management.....	93
3.7.4 GIS Quality Management	95
3.8 INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATION	96
3.8.1 General Methodology	96
3.8.2 MEC Accountability and Records Management	96
3.8.3 UXO Personnel Qualifications	97
3.8.4 MEC Sampling Locations	98
3.8.5 MEC Sampling Procedures	98
3.8.6 Munitions with the Greatest Fragmentation Distance.....	99
3.8.7 Minimum Separation Distances.....	99
3.8.8 MEC Identification.....	99
3.8.9 MEC Removal.....	100

3.8.10 MEC Storage	101
3.8.11 MEC Disposal	101
3.8.12 Disposal Alternatives	107
3.9 INVESTIGATIVE DERIVED WASTE (DID MR -005-13)	107
3.10 RISK ASSESSMENT AND ANALYSIS	108
3.11 ANALYSIS OF INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS (DID MR -100)	108
3.11.1 Institutional Analysis	108
3.11.2 Institutional Analysis Report	108
3.11.3 Institutional Controls Alternatives Analysis	109
3.11.4 Institutional Control Plan	109
3.11.5 Residual Risk	110
3.12 RECURRING REVIEW PLAN	110
3.12.1 Preparation of the Recurring Review Plan	110
4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PLAN	113
4.1 INTRODUCTION	113
4.1.1 Policy Statement	113
4.1.2 Scope	113
4.1.3 Quality Assurance	113
4.2 SITE-SPECIFIC QUALITY CONTROL PLAN	113
4.3 QUALITY CONTROL ORGANIZATION	114
4.3.1 Responsibilities and Authority	114
4.3.2 QC Personnel Qualifications and Training	121
4.4 QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	121
4.4.1 Field Activities	121
4.4.2 Data Validation	123
4.4.3 Quality Reporting	125
4.5 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND RESPONSE CHECK	126
4.5.1 Maintenance	126
4.5.2 Response Check Log	126
4.5.3 Shipping Equipment	127
4.5.4 Corrective Measures	127
4.5.5 Records Management	127
4.6 FIELD CHANGE CONTROL	127

4.6.1 Responsibilities	127
4.6.2 Procedure.....	128
4.6.3 Records.....	129
4.7 AUDITS.....	129
4.7.1 Objectives	130
4.7.2 Responsibilities	130
4.7.3 Audit Procedure	130
4.7.4 Records.....	131
4.8 NONCONFORMANCE/CORRECTIVE ACTION.....	132
4.8.1 Purpose.....	132
4.8.2 Responsibility	132
4.8.3 Nonconformance Procedures.....	133
4.8.4 Records.....	134
4.9 Lessons Learned.....	134
5.0 EXPLOSIVES MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	137
5.1 EXPLOSIVES MANAGEMENT	137
5.1.1 Acquisition.....	137
5.1.2 Initial Receipt	139
5.1.3 Receipt Procedure	139
5.1.4 Storage.....	140
5.1.5 Transportation	140
5.1.6 MEC Inventory	141
5.1.7 Lost/Stolen or Unauthorized Use of Explosives/MEC.....	142
5.1.8 Return of Explosives/MEC not Expended.....	142
5.1.9 Procedures for Disposal of Unused Explosives	142
6.0 EXPLOSIVE SITING PLAN	143
6.1 EXPLOSIVE SITING	143
6.1.1 MEC Areas	143
6.1.2 MEC Footprint Areas	144
6.1.3 Explosives Storage Magazines	146
7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN.....	157
7.1 ASSESSMENT OF APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS.....	157

7.1.1 Chemical-Specific ARAR's	159
7.1.2 Location-Specific ARAR's.....	160
7.1.3 Action-Specific ARAR's	160
7.1.4 Screening of ARAR's	160
7.2 CURRENT CONDITIONS	166
7.2.1 Biological Resource.....	166
7.2.2 Cultural Resources	176
7.3 COSTAL ZONES.....	182
7.4 VEGETATION REMOVAL	182
7.5 WASTE DISPOSAL.....	182
7.6 PROJECT MITIGATION PLANS	182
7.6.1 Waste Removal and Disposal	183
7.6.2 Burning Activities	183
7.6.3 Dust and Emissions	183
7.6.4 Spill Control and Prevention.....	183
7.6.5 Storage Areas and Temporary Facilities	184
7.6.6 Access Routes.....	184
7.6.7 Vegetation Removal (Trees and Shrubs).....	184
7.6.8 Water Run-on and Run-off	184
7.6.9 Decontamination and Disposal of Equipment	184
7.6.10 Minimizing Areas of Disturbance.....	184
7.6.11 Post-activity Cleanup	184
7.6.12 Air Monitoring Programs.....	184
8.0 PROPERTY MANAGEMENT PLAN	186
9.0 INTERIM HOLDING FACILITY SITING PLAN FOR RCWM.....	188
10.0 PHYSICAL SECURITY.....	190
11.0 REFERENCES.....	192

FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Borrego Maneuver Area Location Map

Figure 1-2 Borrego Maneuver Area MRAs

Figure 2-1 BMA Organizational Chart

Figure 2-2 BMA RI Fieldwork Schedule

Figure 3-1 Decision Logic for the BMA RI

Figure 5-1 BATF License/Permit

TABLES

Table 1-1 BMA Land Use

Table 2-1 Deliverable Schedule

Table 3-1 List of DQOs

Table 3-2 RI Field Teams

Table 4-1 MARRS Services Quality Control Organization

Table 6-1 Unintentional Detonation Minimum SepaRATION Distances (MSD)

Table 7-1 Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs), Former Borrego Maneuver Area

Table 7-2 Species List for BMA

Table 7-3 Possible Mitigation Strategies for Biological Resources

Table 7-4 Possible Mitigation Strategies for Historic Properties

APPENDICES

Appendix A Task Order Statement of Work

Appendix B Site Maps

Appendix C Local Points of Contacts

Appendix D Accident Prevention

Appendix E MSD Calculations

Appendix F Contractor Forms

Appendix G Sampling and Analysis Plan

Appendix H Resumes

Appendix I Site Specific MRA Investigation Plans

Appendix J Geophysical Prove-Out

Appendix K Demolition SOP

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK